This article was downloaded by: On: 24 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597273

A SCREENING METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF GLYPHOSATE IN GROUND WATER

C. M. Sparacino^a; F. K. Thomas^a ^a Research Triangle Institute, NC, USA

Online publication date: 17 April 2002

To cite this Article Sparacino, C. M. and Thomas, F. K.(2002) 'A SCREENING METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF GLYPHOSATE IN GROUND WATER', Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies, 25: 4, 665 – 673 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1081/JLC-120008819 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1081/JLC-120008819

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

J. LIQ. CHROM. & REL. TECHNOL., 25(4), 665-673 (2002)

A SCREENING METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF GLYPHOSATE IN GROUND WATER

C. M. Sparacino* and F. K. Thomas

Research Triangle Institute, 3040 Cornwallis Road, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA

ABSTRACT

A rugged dual column LC method was developed for the screening analysis of glyphosate in ground water. Analysis was based on a previously described pre-column fluorescence derivatization procedure. The method employed a short pre-column cartridge and a conventional reverse phase analytical column. Method precision for the screening assay was acceptable (13.1% RSD). The method was applied to a large set of pond water samples using the same analytical column without discernible loss of column efficiency during the course of analysis. The method represents an efficient and robust process for the analysis of glyphosate in ground water samples.

INTRODUCTION

Glyphosate (Roundup^(R)) is a widely distributed broad-spectrum herbicide that has been in use for many years. The compound possesses properties (polar,

665

Copyright © 2002 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

www.dekker.com

^{*}Corresponding author.

ORDER		REPRINTS
-------	--	----------

666

SPARACINO AND THOMAS

non-chromophoric) that have rendered it problematic with regard to analysis at low levels in various environmental matrixes. Derivatization has thus been used to alter these native properties, and convert the compound into new entities that either change chromatographic properties and/or increase detectability. Although gas chromatographic methods have been reported (e.g., Mogadati *et al.*), (1) most literature reports, including an Association of Official Analytical Chemists method, (2) are based on liquid chromatographic methods. The most popular approach involves conversion of glyphosate to a fluorescent derivative (either preor post-column), which allows low ppb detection for most matrixes (e.g., Miles *et al.*, (3) Moye *et al.*, (4) Oppenhuizen *et al.* (9)). These methods are usually labor intensive and, in some cases (in our hands) not sufficiently rugged for processing large sample sets.

The need for a sensitive and rugged method that could be used for screening analysis of ground water samples was, therefore, developed based on derivatization of glyphosate with 9-fluorenylmethyl chloroformate (FMOC-Cl) followed by dual column liquid chromatography. A dual column approach has been reported (Sancho *et al.*, (6) Hogendoorn *et al.* (7)) using two conventional sized analytical columns and large injection volumes to achieve high sensitivity. Our approach followed the general method of Miles *et al.*, and involved the use of a small clean-up column and a backwash step to remove excess FMOC-Cl. The resultant rugged method was used to successfully screen approximately 100 ground water samples collected from several ponds in Minnesota.

EXPERIMENTAL

Sample Preparation

A 100-mL aliquot of water sample was filtered with Whatman No. 1 paper into a 250-mL round bottom flask, and 1 ml of dibasic potassium phosphate (0.1 M) was added. The sample was concentrated to approximately 1 ml by rotary evaporation. The concentrate was transferred to a 5-mL volumetric flask followed by two 2-mL rinses with reagent grade water. The reconstituted sample was filtered through a 0.45-micron glass microfiber filter (Whatman) and was stored at 4° C.

Standard Preparation

N-(Phosphonomethyl)-glycine (glyphosate - Sigma Aldrich) was dissolved in reagent grade water to prepare a stock solution at a concentration of

ORDER		REPRINTS
-------	--	----------

Downloaded At: 09:09 24 January 2011

 $0.1 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$. One milliliter of this solution was diluted to 100 ml in water to produce a working standard at 0.5 ppb.

Derivatization

A 0.1-mL aliquot of concentrated sample was placed in a 15-mL centrifuge tube containing 0.90 ml of 0.025 borate buffer (disodium tetraborate) and 0.90 mL of acetonitrile. To this mixture was added 0.1 ml of 9-fluorenylmethyl chloroformate (FMOC-Cl, 0.005 M in acetonitrile). The tube was shaken and allowed to react for 20 minutes at room temperature. Excess FMOC-Cl was removed by three 5 ml washes with methyl-t-butyl ether. The remaining aqueous residue was analyzed directly.

Liquid Chromatography

The LC system consisted of two pumps (Waters Associates) and two columns configured as shown in Figure 1. Automated column switching (controlled by an autosampler) was conducted using a Rheodyne 9010 6-port stainless steel valve with an Alcott 732 actuator.

The pre-column $(30 \times 4.6 \text{ mm})$ was a Brownlee Spheri-5 ODS cartridge; the analytical column $(250 \times 4.6 \text{ mm})$ was a C-18 Zorbax Rx (MacMod).

The mobile phase for the analytical pump (pump A, Figure 1) consisted of 18 parts of acetonitrile and 82 parts of buffer (0.05 M monobasic potassium phosphate at pH 6). The mobile phase for the wash pump (pump B) was methanol/water (80/20). Flow was maintained for both pumps at 2 ml/min.

An autosampler (Spark Holland Marathon) was used with injection volumes of $20\,\mu\text{L}$.

Detection was accomplished using a McPherson FI-750B fluorescence detector with excitation at 265 nm and emission monitored at 315 nm with a 270-nm cutoff filter.

RESULTS

The methodology was developed for screening purposes, and is reported because it represents a rugged approach that allows for assay of large sample sets without the need for frequent replacement of the analytical column. The use of a small, inexpensive pre-column is a salient feature of the method. Glyphosate concentration was estimated using an external standard approach. Three concentrations of glyphosate standard were derivatized and analyzed to provide



667

ORDER		REPRINTS
-------	--	----------

SPARACINO AND THOMAS



Figure 1. Flow schematic of 6-port switching valve.

a response range (approximately 0.5-10 ppb) that bracketed the 'found' concentrations for those samples in which the compound was detected.

Results for this quantitation approach are shown below. The data resulted in a regression equation (unweighted) of y = 1,359x + 511.2; r = 0.9999.

Glyphosate Concentration (ppb)	Response (millivolt-sec)	
0.515	1238	
5.15	7456	
10.3	14528	

Method precision was determined by processing four samples of glyphosate prepared from spiked pond water that was shown to be free of the pesticide (2 samples) and deionized water (2 samples). The samples were prepared at a glyphosate concentration of 0.5 ppb. Analysis of the four samples





Downloaded At: 09:09 24 January 2011

Table 1. Pond Water Sample Results

Pond Water Sample	Detector Response (µV-sec)	Glyphosate Conc. (ppb)
Site A	2,108,730	1.18
Site B	9,211,380	6.40
Site C	2,478,570	1.45

using the dual column method measuring detector response (raw area counts), yielded the following data:

Sample	Area Counts (Vs)	Sample	Area Counts (µVs)
DI Water 1	1,124,622	Pond Water 1	1,034,830
DI Water 2	977,728	Pond Water 2	814,185

with a precision estimate for all four samples of 13.2% RSD. From more than 90 ponds sampled, only three had quantifiable levels of glyphosate. These results are shown in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

Dual column chromatography has been employed for many years as a means of obviating the need for either greater sample purification or lengthy chromatographic run times. With pre-column derivatization, excess reagent can present a problem because it is normally present in grossly excessive amounts. In the present case, after reaction of glyphosate with FMOC-Cl, the excess reagent is largely removed by extraction with an organic solvent (in our work, methyl-tbutyl ether – MTBE). Without exhaustive and time-consuming extraction schemes, some reagent can remain associated with the sample solution. This can be a significant problem if, as is reported in some of the cited literature, an aminopropyl LC column packing is used, which can potentially react with FMOC-Cl. An additional problem that we encountered stemmed from the presence of trace quantities of extracting solvent that contaminated the LC column and produced a variety of difficulties (excessive back pressure, unstable retention times).



669



670

Downloaded At: 09:09 24 January 2011





Figure 2. Chromatograms of derivatized pond water. (a) Pond water – single column analysis; (b) Spiked pond water (0.5 ppb) – dual column analysis; (c) Water blank – dual column analysis; (d) Representative pond water field sample – dual column analysis.







Figure 2. Continued.



671

ORDER		REPRINTS
-------	--	----------

672

SPARACINO AND THOMAS

Our method was based on the use of a C-18 column, which provided separation of derivatized glyphosate, an early eluter under our conditions, and unreacted FMOC-Cl, which was highly retained. This circumstance allowed us to develop a dual column method using a short pre-column to retain the late-eluting reagent, while allowing rapid introduction of the analyte onto the analytical C-18 column. As shown in Figure 1, operation of the valve provided flow of wash solvent onto the pre-column in preparation for the next injection. All LC operations were optimized to give a total cycle time (time from one injection to the next) of 12 minutes.

The benefit of the dual column approach is illustrated in Figure 2. Using a single column, the modified procedure of Miles *et al.* (2) produced chromatograms as presented in Figure 2A. The large peak at approximately 8 minutes represents unreacted FMOC-Cl, which, with other late-eluting species, increases analysis time and, ultimately, shortens the life of the analytical column. Figure 2B depicts results of analysis of a spiked sample using the dual column configuration. The run time is substantially reduced, and, of greater importance, the lifetime of the analytical column was extended significantly. A water blank chromatogram is shown in Figure 2C, and 2D shows a chromatogram of a representative field sample of pond water.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work described was funded in whole or part with federal funds from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Contract Number: NO1-ES-55386.

REFERENCES

- Mogadati, P.S.; Louis, J.B.; Rosen, J.D. J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. Int. 1996, 79, 157–162.
- Method 983.10, Glyphosate (Technical) and Pesticide Formulations, AOAC Official Methods of Analysis, 1990.
- Miles, C.J.; Wallace, L.R.; Moye, H.A. J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. 1986, 69, 458–461.
- Moye, H.A.; St. John, P.A. ACS Symp. Ser. 1980, 136, Pest. Anal. Methodol. 1980, 89–102.
- 5. Oppenhuizen, M.E.; Cowell, J.E. J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. 1991, 74, 317.

Marcel Dekker, Inc. 270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016

ORDER		REPRINTS
-------	--	----------

- 6. Sancho, J.V.; Hernandez, F.; Lopez, F.J.; Hogendoorn, E.A.; Dijkman, E.; van Zoonen, P. J. Chromatog. A **1996**, *737*, 75–83.
- 7. Hogendoorn, E.A.; Ossendrijver, F.M.; Dijkman, E.; Baumann, R.A. J. Chromatog. A **1999**, *833*, 67–73.

Received July 18, 2001 Accepted September 5, 2001 Manuscript 5614



Request Permission or Order Reprints Instantly!

Interested in copying and sharing this article? In most cases, U.S. Copyright Law requires that you get permission from the article's rightsholder before using copyrighted content.

All information and materials found in this article, including but not limited to text, trademarks, patents, logos, graphics and images (the "Materials"), are the copyrighted works and other forms of intellectual property of Marcel Dekker, Inc., or its licensors. All rights not expressly granted are reserved.

Get permission to lawfully reproduce and distribute the Materials or order reprints quickly and painlessly. Simply click on the "Request Permission/Reprints Here" link below and follow the instructions. Visit the <u>U.S. Copyright Office</u> for information on Fair Use limitations of U.S. copyright law. Please refer to The Association of American Publishers' (AAP) website for guidelines on <u>Fair Use in the Classroom</u>.

The Materials are for your personal use only and cannot be reformatted, reposted, resold or distributed by electronic means or otherwise without permission from Marcel Dekker, Inc. Marcel Dekker, Inc. grants you the limited right to display the Materials only on your personal computer or personal wireless device, and to copy and download single copies of such Materials provided that any copyright, trademark or other notice appearing on such Materials is also retained by, displayed, copied or downloaded as part of the Materials and is not removed or obscured, and provided you do not edit, modify, alter or enhance the Materials. Please refer to our <u>Website</u> User Agreement for more details.

Order now!

Reprints of this article can also be ordered at http://www.dekker.com/servlet/product/DOI/101081JLC120003354